Appl. No.: 10/520,335

Amdt. Dated: February 5, 2009

Reply to Office action of: September 15, 2008

Amendment to the Drawings

The attached "replacement sheet" of drawings includes formalized Fig. 1.

The replacement sheet replaces the originally filed sheet including Fig. 1.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action and amended as

necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter that Applicant regards as

the invention.

A replacement drawing sheet is submitted herewith to overcome the examiner's objection

under 37 CFR 1.121 (d).

Claim 1 has been objected to. The claim has been amended to call for "an acoustic

support member." This recitation is in keeping with the claim language originally presented.

Claim 1-3 were rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Henderson in

view of Scott.

Claim 1 has been amended to state that the wall structure is defined by first and second

parallel wall sheeting. Henderson's wall sheeting is linearly aligned and coplanar. Applicant

claims that at least one central stud is disposed between the parallel walk sheeting, while, in

Henderson the studs are located between coplaner sheets. According to claim 1, the acoustic

support members are located between the central support member and the wall sheeting. This is

not so in Henderson.

The examiner cites Scott for disclosing a composite stud, and apparently considers the

central stud member as being a composite. Actually the composite comprises a central stud

member, an acoustic support member and an outer stud member. To eliminate this confusion,

claim 1 has been amended to call for "a composite and a wall structure comprising..."

Regarding claim 2, the claim now states that the flanges are directed toward each other,

which should clear up the confusion noted by the examiner.

Since 2 and 3 depend from claim 1, they are allowable for the reasons set forth above.

Page 5 of 6

Appl. No.: 10/520,335

Amdt. Dated: February 5, 2009

Reply to Office action of: September 15, 2008

For these reasons it is respectfully submitted that this application is allowable.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same t our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, Our Order No. PMAX-37319

Respectfully submitted

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

Thomas P. Schiller, Reg. No. 20677

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: February 5, 2009